

# *Calibration of a hydrological model using sediment proxy data*

**G. Bussi <sup>(1)</sup>, F. Francés <sup>(1)</sup>, X. Rodríguez-Lloveras <sup>(2)</sup>, G.  
Benito <sup>(2)</sup>, Y. Sánchez-Moya <sup>(3)</sup>, A. Sopeña <sup>(3)</sup>**

*1 - Research Institute of Water and Environmental Engineering, **Universitat Politècnica de València**, Spain*

*2 - Geology Department, National Museum of Natural Sciences – **CSIC** – Madrid, Spain*


*3 - Geosciences Institute, CSIC - **Universidad Complutense de Madrid**, Spain*

- ❑ *Problem:* hydrological modelling in Mediterranean semi-arid zones is limited by **data availability**:
  - **Good** data availability: rainfall, temperature, land use, ...
  - **Scarce** data: water & sediment discharge, soil properties, ...
  
- ❑ *Aim of the work:* calibration and validation of a hydrological model in a semi-arid catchment without discharge data.
  - 1 – simplifying the model by making realistic hypothesis;
  - 2 – using proxy data: **check dam sedimentation volume**;
  - 3 – using multidisciplinary techniques:
    - **stratigraphical analysis**
    - **hydrological modelling**
    - **reservoir sedimentation modelling**

## □ Methodology:

- 1 – Reconstruction of the **depositional record** of a check dam infill deposit by means of a stratigraphical description;
- 2 – Hydrological and sediment **parameter estimation** based on available information;
- 3 – **Calibration and validation** of a hydrological and sediment model using the reconstructed depositional record;
- 4 – **Verification** of the model performance by comparing simulated and observed water discharge at the streamgauge station.

- ❑ Rambla del Poyo catchment (Valencia, SE Spain)
  - Semi-arid climate (rainfall = 450 mm/year;  $ET_0$  = 1,100 mm/year)
  - Geology dominated by limestone
  - Shrubland cover (*matorral*)
  - Studied catchment: 12.9 km<sup>2</sup>
  - Streamgauge catchment: 184 km<sup>2</sup>







# The model

## □ TETIS model: hydrological sub-model

- Developed in the TU of Valencia since 1994
- Distributed and conceptual (tank structure) model, with physically based parameters
- Reproduction of hydrological cycle spatial variability
- It uses all spatial information available




## □ TETIS model: sediment sub-model

- Integration of CASC2D-SED (Julien and Rojas, 2002) in TETIS
- Balance between water transport capacity and sediment availability
- Hillslope transport capacity: modified Kilinc – Richardson (1) equation (Julien, 1995)
- Gully and channel transport: Engelund – Hansen equation (2)

$$(1) \quad Q_h = \frac{1}{\gamma_s} W \alpha S_o^{1.66} \left( \frac{Q}{W} \right)^{2.035} \frac{K}{0.15} C P$$

$$(2) \quad C_{w,i} = \beta \left( \frac{G}{G-1} \right) \frac{V S_f}{\sqrt{(G-1) g d_i}} \sqrt{\frac{R_h S_f}{(G-1) d_i}}$$




- Reservoir sedimentation: **STEP model** (Verstraeten and Poesen, 2001)


# The model parameters

## □ Model parameters:

- Soil hydrological properties (static storage, hydraulic conductivity, ...);



- Sediment production properties (C, K and P factor of USLE and soil texture).





# Sediment proxy data

## ❑ Check dam infill volume


- Many small check dams (2 – 10 m tall) were built in Spanish Mediterranean during 90s as sediment traps;
- A partially filled check dam was chosen:
  - Height: 4.5 m;
  - Catchment: 12.9 km<sup>2</sup>;
  - Capacity: 3000 m<sup>3</sup>;
  - Total infill ~ 1400 m<sup>3</sup>.





# Sediment proxy data


- ❑ GPS survey for infill volume estimation
- ❑ Two 10 x 2.5 m trenches dug across the dam infill
- ❑ Detailed stratigraphic panels with 1 m mesh
  - detection of alluvial layers deposited by different floods (the separation is defined by a break in deposition)



# Infill volume estimation

## □ Stratigraphical description

- 2.5 m sediment column;
- 15 layers (flood units);
- rests of **charcoal** in layers 3 to 10, due to 1994 and 2000 wildfires;
- 8 layers found in both trench 1 and 2;
- granulometrical analysis of all layers (sandy sediments);



# Infill volume estimation

## □ Two methodologies:

1 – **wedge approach**: every layer volume was calculated as if each flood unit had a wedge shape.

2 - **proportional approach**: by subtracting to the actual deposits the average layer depth.

Flood unit	Volume i) (m <sup>3</sup> )	Volume ii) (m <sup>3</sup> )
1	34	38
2	8	28
3	172	78
4	10	27
5	14	18
6	55	18
7	22	11
8	20	41
9	195	96
10	153	233
11	75	110
12	8	11
13	37	46
14	30	23
15	18	22
surface	582	448
tot	1434	1248

# Calibration and validation

- ❑ The model need to be **simplified**
- ❑ Some hypothesis(confirmed by field observations):
  - Hortonian flow
  - Very little interflow
  - No base flow
- ❑ Parameters to calibrate (5 most influential parameters):
  - Upper soil static storage
  - Upper soil vertical hydraulic conductivity
  - Upper soil horizontal hydraulic conductivity
  - Routing correction coefficient
  - $\alpha$ : Kilinc – Richardson sediment production coefficient

# Calibration and validation

## □ Dating:

- Using charcoal and knowing wildfires dates (1994 and 2000);
- Model results help dating;


## □ Calibration

- The model is calibrated simulating the reservoir observed deposited volume of the **October 2000 event** (the most extreme event in the historical series) with a **daily  $\Delta t$** ;
- The October 2000 deposited layer is formed by flood units 8 + 9 + 10;
- Deposited volume is  $\sim 370 \text{ m}^3$ ;

# Calibration and validation

## □ Model validation


- The model is validated vs observed reservoir infill volume (reconstructed from stratigraphical description) – 12.9 km<sup>2</sup>
- **Feedback** process: model results help dating sediment layers



# Calibration and validation

## ❑ Water discharge validation

- The model is validated vs water discharge from the Rambla del Poyo streamgauge (184 km<sup>2</sup>)



**NSE index = 0.86**



- ❑ Sediment proxy data help constrain water cycle model calibration (**transfer of information** from sediment cycle to water cycle);
- ❑ **Multidisciplinarity**: coupling hydrological modelling and palaeohydrological techniques for improving catchment knowledge;
- ❑ **Small data requirement**: rainfall and temperature, soil data, land use and partially filled check dams;
- ❑ **Generalization**: this technique can be used in almost all Mediterranean small and medium size catchment

***Thanks for your attention!***

Gianbattista Bussi ([gbussi@upvnet.upv.es](mailto:gbussi@upvnet.upv.es))

Research Group of Hydrological and Environmental Modelling  
[luvia.dihma.upv.es](http://luvia.dihma.upv.es)

Acknowledgements:

SCARCE (CSD2009-00065) and FLOOD-MED (CGL2008-06474-C02-01/02) Projects  
The work has been funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness